

***Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary***

Application No.	MAEHARA, FUYUKI	
10/736,608		
Examiner	Art Unit	
Hal I. Kaplan	2836	

**All Participants:**(1) Hal I. Kaplan.**Status of Application:** Claims 1, 3, and 5-8 allowed.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Joel Armstrong.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 September 2006**Time:** 4:45 p.m.**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 5, and 7

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***Applicant confirmed that claims 1, 5, and 7 correctly claimed the average value calculation method and there were no informalities.***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


**BRIAN SIRCUS****SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)